

# Timer-Driven Database Triggers and Alerters: Semantics and a Challenge<sup>†</sup>

Eric N. Hanson and Lloyd X. Noronha

301 CSE

CISE Department

University of Florida

Gainesville, FL 32611

352-392-2691

hanson@cise.ufl.edu

## Abstract

This paper proposes a simple model for a timer-driven triggering and alerting system. Such a system can be used with relational and object-relational databases systems. Timer-driven trigger systems have a number of advantages over traditional trigger systems that test trigger conditions and run trigger actions in response to update events. They are relatively easy to implement since they can be built using a middleware program that simply runs SQL statements against a DBMS. Also, they can check certain types of conditions, such as “a value did not change” or “a value did not change by more than 10% in six months.” Such conditions may be of interest for a particular application, but cannot be checked correctly by an event-driven trigger system. Also, users may be perfectly happy being notified once a day, once a week, or even less often of certain conditions, depending on their application. Timer triggers are appropriate for these users. The semantics of timer triggers are defined here using a simple procedure. Timer triggers are meant to complement event-driven triggers, not replace them. *We challenge the database research community to develop alternate algorithms and optimizations for processing timer triggers, provided that the semantics are the same as when using the simple procedure presented here.*

## 1 Introduction

The trigger systems in current database products are synchronous. In other words, trigger conditions are checked inside of update transactions, and trigger

actions run inside the triggering transaction. This has the advantage that triggers can be used to enforce integrity constraints, and that triggered actions that update the database will only be carried out if the triggering transaction commits. However, checking trigger conditions inside update transactions effectively limits the total number of triggers that can be specified for performance reasons. Moreover, when triggers are used as alerters (rules to notify humans when changes of interest have occurred in the data), checking trigger conditions inside update transactions is overkill in some cases. It may be perfectly reasonable to be notified only once an hour, once a day, or once a week when an interesting change occurs. This is especially true when the change of interest is based on an aggregation, such as a sum, average, or count.

Also, with a timer trigger, it is possible to check conditions such as “did not change” or “did not change by more than 10% in six months.” These conditions can be true even if the relevant data is not updated, so they cannot be checked correctly in a trigger system driven by update events. Some type of timer-driven polling mechanism is required.

Scalable trigger processing has recently been identified as an important research topic by a group of leading database researchers [1]. Checking trigger conditions infrequently, when this is acceptable for the application, is an excellent way to improve the scalability of a trigger system. Many more timer-driven triggers than synchronous triggers can be handled in a high-update-rate database, assuming that timer expiration for each trigger is not too frequent. Obviously, checking thousands of trigger conditions

---

<sup>†</sup> This research was supported in part by grants from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Informix Software, Inc.

every second using a timer-driven mechanism is a recipe for disaster. But a trigger system can check thousands, perhaps millions of trigger or alter conditions daily and perform well.

Timer driven triggers similar in some respects to the ones discussed here are not entirely new. Batch processing systems that can automatically create reports at scheduled times and email them to users or print them for delivery have been around for years. The goal of this paper is to formalize the semantics of a timer trigger system.

The discussion presented here is not meant to advocate that timer-driven triggers should completely replace conventional synchronous triggers, such as SQL-3 triggers [2], or asynchronous triggers that are checked immediately after update transactions commit, as in the TriggerMan system [3]. Rather, timer-driven triggers complement them. Developers can choose timer driven triggers when they are useful for their application.

This paper discusses a timer-driven triggering mechanism, which is useful in situations where only periodic trigger condition checking is required, and in situations where it is simply too expensive to check trigger conditions within update transactions. One of the advantages of the approach is its simplicity. It can be implemented in middleware over a DBMS, making use of the DBMS query processor for trigger condition testing. The middleware can run in an external process, inside an object-relational DBMS extension module (e.g. an Informix DataBlade), or in a combination of the two. The remainder of this paper discuss the proposed trigger language, trigger processing architecture, and system implementation.

## 2 Trigger Language

We propose a timer-driven trigger mechanism based on the following trigger language. First, database tables are defined as data sources to the trigger processor. The trigger processor knows the schema of each data source table, and knows which column or columns make up the primary key of each data source. In the command syntax notation given in this paper, items in curly brackets are optional. Items in angle brackets will be more fully specified later.

### 2.1 Timer Trigger Creation

A timer-driven trigger can be created with the syntax shown below.

```
create timer trigger <triggername> {in
<triggerset>}
from <from-clause>
```

```
{on event}
{when <when-qual>}
[ check every <time-specification> | check using
<calendar-specification> ]
{initialize {immediately | on first timer
expiration}}
{for each {row | set}}
do <action>
```

The **from** clause of the **create timer trigger** command can contain either a parenthesized SQL **select** statement, the name of a data source, or the name of a view defined on one or more other data sources or views.

Views can be created on data sources using the normal SQL **create view** command, augmented with a **primary key** clause. This command has the following syntax:

```
create view <viewname> as
select <target-list>
from <from-list>
{ where <view-qual> }
{ group by <group-attr-list> }
{ having <view-having-qual> }
{ primary key ( <attr-list> ) }
```

If the **from** clause of the view definition contains only one table or view name, and the **select** in the view definition contains the key of this table or view in the target list, then a primary key clause does not have to be specified. Instead, the key is inferred to have the same attributes as the source table or view. If the view is an aggregate query with a **group by** clause, then its key is the set of attributes specified in the **group by** clause. If it is not possible to infer the key or the attributes for a trigger's view do not form a key, then error messages are signaled and the trigger deactivated. A nested **select** statement in the **from** clause may have a "**primary key ( <attr-list> )**" clause.

The **on** clause allows the user to check to see whether a row was inserted into, deleted from, or updated in the view or data source given in the **from** clause since the last time the trigger's timer expired. We'll discuss timer expiration more below. The event may be **insert**, **delete**, **update** or **update(<attribute-list>)**. If there is no **on** clause, then every time the trigger's timer expires, all rows in the trigger's data source or view are retrieved, and the trigger's action is executed for those rows.

If and only if the trigger has an **on update** clause, a Boolean expression can appear in the **when** clause. This expression may refer to any field retrieved by the view of the trigger. The notation **old** may be used in the **when** clause before any field name. For

example, `old.salary` would be the old value of the salary field retrieved by the view. If the **when** clause does not appear for an **on update** trigger, it defaults to TRUE.

The **check every** and **check using** clauses specify when timers expire for the trigger. If the trigger has a **check every** `<time-units>` clause, then the timer expires after `<time-units>` of time have passed. The trigger's timer is then reset to go off `<time-units>` later. If the trigger has a **check using** `<calendar-specification>` clause, then the trigger's timer expires at the time points specified by the calendar. The details of calendar specification are beyond the scope of this paper. However, a `<calendar-specification>` can be used to create a trigger whose condition is checked every Monday, Wednesday and Friday at 5:00PM, for example.

The **initialize** clause is optional. The default value for this clause when it does not appear is **immediately**. The meaning of this clause will be defined later.

The **for each** clause is also optional. Its default value is **for each row**. If the timer trigger is a **for each row** trigger, then the action of the trigger is run once for each qualifying row. If the timer trigger is a **for each set** trigger, the action is run once for the qualifying set of rows, even if the set is empty. The action of the trigger can access a cursor to iterate over the set of rows retrieved in this case. Details of this are beyond the scope of this paper.

The **do** clause contains the trigger action. The action can contain a command in the trigger system's command language [3], or a **begin ... end** block containing a sequence of commands.

The semantics of the language are defined by a procedure given below. This procedure can be used to implement the language, but that is not required. The only requirement is that the results of timer-driven trigger processing must be *as if this procedure was used*. This leaves open opportunities for optimization.

## 2.2 Trigger Processing Procedure

The **view** *V* of a timer-driven trigger is defined as the data source, view, or **select** statement given in its **from** clause. The behavior for all cases, including no **on** clause, **on update**, **on delete**, and **on insert** is given below. In the following algorithms, it is implicitly assumed that the initialization and timer expiration procedures set the timer of the trigger to go off at the next appropriate time.

### 2.2.1 Algorithm NoOnClause

We restate the no **on** clause case as an algorithm for completeness. The behavior of timer triggers with no **on** clause is defined by the following procedure:

#### Initialization

Do nothing.

#### Timer Expiration

Run a query to retrieve the contents of the trigger's view. Run the trigger action for the data retrieved.

### 2.2.2 Algorithm OnUpdate

The behavior of timer driven triggers which have an **on update** event clause is defined according to the following procedure.

#### Initialization

If the trigger is an **initialize immediately** trigger, retrieve the current contents of the view and store them in TEMP1, and set the timer to go off at the appropriate time. If it is an **initialize on first timer expiration** trigger, then set the timer to go off at an appropriate time, and when the timer goes off the first time, retrieve the current contents of the view into TEMP1.<sup>1</sup>

#### Timer Expiration

After initialization, when the timer for the trigger goes off, perform the following steps:

1. Retrieve the current contents of *V* and store the result in TEMP2.
2. Let the **when** clause condition of the timer-driven trigger be called *W*. Run the following query, and run the trigger action for the values retrieved.

```
select *
from TEMP1, TEMP2
where TEMP1.key=TEMP2.key
and W
and (TEMP1.attr1 <> TEMP2.attr1 or
     TEMP1.attr2 <> TEMP2.attr2 ... or
     TEMP1.attrN <> TEMP2.attrN)
-- At least one attribute was updated.
```

3. Delete TEMP1.

---

<sup>1</sup> For example, if the trigger is a "check every day at 2am" trigger with an **initialize on first timer expiration** clause, and the trigger is created at 3pm, then its view's contents would first be retrieved at 2am the next evening, and stored in TEMP1.

#### 4. Rename TEMP2 to TEMP1.<sup>2</sup>

If the trigger's **on update** clause specifies an attribute list of the form (attr\_i1, attr\_i2, ... attr\_iK), rather than no attribute list, step 2 above is modified. In that case, the final **and** term is replaced by:

(TEMP1.attr\_i1  $\triangleleft$  TEMP2.attr\_i1 or  
TEMP1.attr\_i2  $\triangleleft$  TEMP2.attr\_i2 ... or  
TEMP1.attr\_iK  $\triangleleft$  TEMP2.attr\_iK)

The procedure as given defines the semantics of timer driven trigger processing for timer triggers with an **on update** clause.

The way Algorithm OnUpdate is defined, a trigger cannot fire for a tuple unless that tuple exists when the timer expires and at the previous time the timer expired. When we say "tuple" we mean "tuple with the same primary key." In other words, for an **on update** trigger to fire for a tuple, the tuple must have been updated, at least logically. It may have been deleted and reinserted, but that is a logical update from the point of view of the timer trigger system.

We believe that it is important for **on update** timer triggers to behave this way to make it easy for users to understand how the system works. The **on insert** and **on delete** timer triggers also behave in a way that should be relatively easy for users to understand.

### 2.2.3 Algorithm OnInsert

When a timer trigger has an **on insert** clause, the procedure that defines its behavior is slightly different. It is defined as follows.

#### *Initialization*

The initialization procedure is the same as for **on update** triggers.

#### *Timer Expiration*

When the timer goes off, these steps are executed:

1. Retrieve the current contents of V and store the result in TEMP2.
2. Form TEMP3 as follows (the - sign represents the set difference operation):

TEMP3 = TEMP2 - TEMP1

3. Run the trigger action for the values in TEMP3.

---

<sup>2</sup> Not all SQL databases support a table rename operation. However, the timer driven trigger system can simulate a rename operation by saving a new table name in its catalogs and logically associating it with TEMP1.

4. Delete TEMP1.

5. Rename TEMP2 to TEMP1.

6. Delete TEMP3.

For an **on insert** timer trigger to fire for a tuple, that tuple must not have existed in the view the previous time the timer expired, and must exist at the current timer expiration.

### 2.2.4 Algorithm OnDelete

When a timer trigger has an **on delete** clause, the procedure that defines its behavior is same as for the **on insert** clause except that step 2 is replaced with:

TEMP3 = TEMP1 - TEMP2.

For an **on delete** timer trigger to fire for a tuple, that tuple must have existed in the view when the timer expired previously, and must not exist now.

## 3 Examples

Examples of triggers created with the language just described are given here. Consider the following schema for a retail store checkout database:

```
checkout(cno,date,time,sno)
lineitem(lino,cno,pno,qty,unitprice)
product(pno,description,category,unitprice,qoh)
store(sno, address, phone, manager_name,
      manager_email)
```

For each checkout, there are multiple line items. The unitprice attribute represents the price of a product. Unitprice is copied from a product table row into a lineitem row when someone purchases one or more of that product. The qoh field of product stands for quantity on hand.

As a simple first example, suppose user Bob wants to be notified by email whenever the quantity on hand of the item '25 oz. claw hammer' is less than 10. This trigger does not specify any update event, so we can specify it with no **on** clause, like this:

```
create timer trigger notify_bob
from (select pno, qoh from product
      where description = '25 oz. claw hammer'
      and qoh < 10)
check every day
do email('Bob@acme.com',
        '25 oz. claw hammer qoh = :qoh')
```

The use of the notation :qoh in the **do** clause of the trigger indicates that :qoh is supposed to be replaced using macro expansion.

A similar but slightly more sophisticated example is shown next. This example is a transition trigger, since it refers both to the old and current state of data. This trigger notifies Bob whenever the quantity on hand of item '25 oz. claw hammer' was 10 or more, but then drops below 10, checking this condition daily.

```
create timer trigger notify_bob2
on update(qoh)
from (select pno, qoh
      from product
      where description = '25 oz. claw hammer')
when qoh < 10 and :old.qoh >= 10
check every day
do email('Bob@acme.com', '25 oz. claw hammer
qoh = :qoh; old qoh = :old.qoh')
```

A trigger that is based on a more sophisticated query, involving an aggregate, is shown below. This trigger notifies the manager of a store whenever there is more than a 30% jump in sales for the week, compared with the previous week:

```
create timer trigger thirty_pct_sales_jump
on update
from (select store.sno as sno, manager_email,
sum(qty*unitprice) as sales
      from product, lineitem, checkout, store
      where product.pno=lineitem.pno
      and lineitem.cno=checkout.cno
      and store.sno=checkout.sno
      and checkout.date>=CURRENT_DATE - 7
      group by sno, manager_email)
when sales > 1.30*old.sales
check every week beginning 'Sunday at 2:00AM'
do email('manager_email', 'total sales jump\': sno
= :sno, this week= :sales, last week = :old.sales')
```

Notice that no primary key clause is needed for the select statement in the above trigger because the **group by** attributes are automatically inferred to be the primary key. This trigger also illustrates the use of the `CURRENT_DATE` expression, which evaluates to the current date, as allowed in SQL [4]. Similarly, `CURRENT_TIME` and `CURRENT_TIMESTAMP` can also be used. The backslash used in the **do** clause of the trigger is the escape character and is used to allow the `:` to appear in the output without having macro processing applied to it.

A useful type of trigger checks if new data has entered a view. For example, suppose that Fred wants to know whenever new rows are entered in the

product table with category='hardware'. This could be done with the following view and trigger:

```
create view hardware as
select *
from product
where category='hardware'

create timer trigger notify_fred_new_hw
from hardware
on insert
check every day
do email('fred@acme.com',
        'new hardware product :pno, :description')
```

## 4 The System Architecture and Implementation Proposal

The timer driven trigger mechanism can be implemented as a multithreaded server process that communicates with a DBMS. A user interface needs to be provided to allow triggers to be created, deleted, activated, deactivated and so forth.

The trigger system catalogs can be stored as tables in a database maintained by the DBMS. These catalogs contain information about trigger definitions, view definitions and names of tables that the trigger system can access. A cache storing the information of recently accessed catalog entries can be used to speed up processing.

## 5 Possible Optimizations

A number of alternate algorithms can be used that may speed up timer trigger processing. We discuss some of them below and elaborate on them to a limited extent in a separate paper [5]. An optimizer could be developed to select among alternative algorithms, thus speeding up processing of timer triggers.

There are several cases to consider, depending on whether the trigger is an **on insert**, **on delete**, or **on update** trigger, whether it has no **on** clause, whether it has a transition condition in its **when** clause, and potentially other criteria. A trigger is said to have a transition condition in its **when** clause when it contains the symbol **old**. If the trigger's **when** clause does not refer to any prior state of the view, but only the latest state, then its **when** condition is not a transition condition.

It appears that there are many possible algorithms for the different cases just identified. *We challenge the database research community to find them, and to develop optimization strategies for choosing among*

them! A legal alternative algorithm must not change the semantics of timer triggers defined in this paper.

Some suggestions for developing enhanced algorithms for timer trigger processing are presented briefly as follows. In the case of an **on update** condition with a transition condition (one that contains :old), some additional optimizations are possible compared with the simple algorithm OnUpdate presented in section 2. For certain **when** conditions (e.g.  $R.x > \text{old}.R.x$ ), it is possible for the system to know that if the **when** condition is true for a row, the row definitely changed. This eliminates the need to test explicitly to see if the row changed.

If the database being monitored supports instantaneous triggers (i.e., regular triggers or asynchronous triggers), they can be used in some cases to speed up processing of timer-driven triggers. Instantaneous triggers can be used to record the keys of those changed rows in the database that are relevant to the view of a trigger. This information can then be used on the next timer expiration for processing the corresponding trigger. A more detailed discussion of this type of alternate timer trigger processing algorithm is given in [6].

The timer trigger model we have described offers numerous opportunities to increase performance by sharing the work of evaluating sub-expressions. At the simplest level, when multiple triggers are defined with identical conditions (including the **from**, **on** and **when** clauses if present), then the trigger condition can be checked just once for all of them. The qualifying tuples can be passed to each trigger action for execution. Moreover, at most one copy of the view for the trigger must be maintained.

More sophisticated techniques to exploit shared sub-expressions are also possible. For example, two **on update** triggers defined on the same view but with different **when** clause conditions could share the same stored view. It may well be possible to develop other techniques for sharing sub-expressions, such as identifying when one view contains another view.

A large number of view maintenance algorithms have been proposed [7]. Existing view maintenance algorithms can be inserted into a timer trigger system as a subroutine. If the view maintenance algorithm is treated as an abstraction, the complexity of the timer trigger processing algorithm will not increase significantly. The real challenge is deciding *when* to use this algorithm. It will not always be better to use view maintenance than to re-materialize the view when needed. Future research on optimization strategies to choose among alternative timer trigger processing algorithms may bear fruit.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper has presented a clean, simple model for timer-driven triggers. The behavior of a timer-driven trigger is defined by a simple procedure. A system may implement timer-driven triggers with a different procedure, *as long as the triggers behave as if the original, simple procedure was used*. We challenge the database research community to develop designs and implementations of enhanced timer-driven trigger processing systems, within the parameters defined here.

## References

- [1] P. Bernstein, M. Brodie, S. Ceri, D. DeWitt, M. Franklin, H. Garcia-Molina, J. Gray, J. Held, J. Hellerstein, H. V. Jagadish, M. Lesk, D. Maier, J. Naughton, H. Pirahesh, M. Stonebraker, and J. Ullman, "The Asilomar Report on Database Research," *SIGMOD Record*, vol. 27, pp. 74-80, 1998.
- [2] R. Cochrane, H. Pirahesh, and N. Mattos, "Integrating Triggers and Declarative Constraints in SQL Database Systems," presented at Proceedings of the 22nd VLDB Conference, 1996.
- [3] E. N. Hanson, C. Carnes, L. Huang, M. Konyala, L. Noronha, S. Parthasarathy, J. B. Park, and A. Vernon, "Scalable Trigger Processing," presented at Proceedings of the IEEE Data Engineering Conference, Sydney, Australia, 1999.
- [4] C. J. Date and H. Darwen, *A Guide to the SQL Standard*, 3rd ed: Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- [5] E. N. Hanson and L. X. Noronha, "Timer-Driven Database Triggers and Alerters," University of Florida CISE Department, Gainesville, FL, TR-011, August 5, 1999.
- [6] L. X. Noronha, *Enhanced Techniques for Timer Trigger Processing*. Gainesville, FL, MS thesis, CISE Department, University of Florida, 1999.
- [7] A. Gupta and I. Mumick, "Materialized Views: Techniques, Implementations and Applications," MIT Press, 1999.